RYAN G. WELDON BRYAN T. DAKE Assistant U.S. Attorneys U.S. Attorney's Office P.O. Box 3447 Great Falls, MT 59403 119 First Ave. North, Suite 300 Great Falls, MT 59403 Phone: (406) 761-7715 FAX: (406) 453-9973 Email: Ryan.Weldon@usdoj.gov Bryan.Dake@usdoj.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA GREAT FALLS DIVISION


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR 16-19-GF-BMM
Plaintiff,	PLEA AGREEMENT (Rule 11(c)(1)(C), <i>Federal Rules of</i> <i>Criminal Procedure</i>)
VS.	Criminal Procedure)
MARTIN GASPER MAZZARA,	
Defendant.	

Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the United

States of America, represented by Ryan G. Weldon and Bryan T. Dake, Assistant

United States Attorneys for the District of Montana, and the defendant, Martin





Gasper Mazzara, and the defendant's attorney, David Z. Chesnoff, have agreed upon the following:

1. Scope: This plea agreement is between the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Montana and the defendant. It does not bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority, or the United States Probation Office.

2. Charges: The defendant agrees to plead guilty to the superseding information, which charges conspiracy to commit wire fraud and engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371. The charge in the superseding information carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, a \$250,000 fine, up to three years supervised release, and a \$100 special assessment.

The defendant agrees to be responsible for complete restitution regardless of whether a count or counts have been dismissed as part of this plea agreement. 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(3). In this case, the parties stipulate that the full restitution due to the Chippewa Cree Tribe is \$2.5 million. The breakdown of that restitution amount is outlined below:

• The defendants have previously settled with the Chippewa Cree Tribe in a civil case. The entirety of that judgement must be paid, and all such payments will offset the amount of restitution to be paid in this criminal case.



- The defendants must pay an additional \$1.4 million as a result of this criminal case. Of that \$1.4 million, Martin Gasper Mazzara is responsible for \$700,000 and Zachary Brooke Roberts is responsible for \$700,000.
- Prior to sentencing, Martin Gasper Mazzara and Zachary Brooke Roberts agree to provide full restitution or secure other collateral to ensure the full restitution is paid.

At the time of sentencing, if the Court accepts this plea agreement, the United States will move to dismiss the indictment, the superseding indictment, and the second superseding indictment.

3. Nature of the Agreement: The parties agree that this plea agreement will be governed by:

Rule 11(c)(1)(C), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The defendant and the United States agree that a specific disposition of not more than 42 months of imprisonment is appropriate in this case. The defendant understands that, if the agreement is accepted by the Court, the defendant will not have an automatic right to withdraw the guilty plea. Rule 11(d)(2)(A), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. If the Court rejects the agreement, pursuant to Rule 11(c)(5), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the defendant will be able to withdraw the plea and the parties will proceed to trial.



4. Admission of Guilt: The defendant will plead guilty because the

defendant is guilty of the charge contained in Count I of the superseding

information. In pleading guilty to Count I, the defendant acknowledges that:

First, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit wire fraud and engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity as charged in the superseding information;

Second, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to accomplish it; and,

Third, one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

5. Waiver of Rights by Plea:

(a) The defendant is entitled to have the charge outlined in

paragraph 2, above, prosecuted by an indictment returned by a concurrence of 12 or more members of a legally constituted grand jury, consisting of not less than 16 and not more than 23 members.

(b) The government has a right to use against the defendant, in a

prosecution for perjury or false statement, any statement given under oath during the plea colloquy.

(c) The defendant has the right to plead not guilty or to persist in a plea of not guilty.



(d) The defendant has the right to a jury trial unless, by written waiver, the defendant consents to a non-jury trial. The United States must also consent and the Court must approve a non-jury trial.

(e) The defendant has the right to be represented by counsel and, if necessary, have the Court appoint counsel at trial and at every other stage of these proceedings.

(f) If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be composed of 12 laypersons selected at random. The defendant and the defendant's attorney would have a say in who the jurors would be by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that the defendant is presumed innocent, and that it could not convict unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

(g) If the trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all of the evidence, whether or not the judge was persuaded of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

(h) At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the United States would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against the defendant. The

AUSA

defendant would be able to confront those government witnesses and the defendant's attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, the defendant could present witnesses and other evidence. If the witnesses for the defendant would not appear voluntarily, their appearance could be mandated through the subpoena power of the Court.

(i) At a trial, there is a privilege against self-incrimination so that the defendant could decline to testify and no inference of guilt could be drawn from the refusal to testify. Or the defendant could exercise the choice to testify.

(j) If convicted, and within 14 days of the entry of the Judgment and Commitment, the defendant would have the right to appeal the conviction to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for review to determine if any errors were made that would entitle the defendant to reversal of the conviction.

(k) The defendant has a right to have the district court conduct the change of plea hearing required by Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. By execution of this agreement, the defendant waives that right and agrees to hold that hearing before, and allow the Rule 11 colloquy to be conducted by, the U.S. Magistrate Judge, if necessary.

(1) If convicted in this matter, a defendant who is not a citizen of the United States may be removed from the United States, denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future.

Far	Wh	YRC	MAYZ. COZ
AUSA	DEF	ATTY	Date

The defendant understands that by pleading guilty pursuant to this agreement, the defendant is waiving all of the rights set forth in this paragraph. The defendant's attorney has explained those rights and the consequences of waiving those rights.

6. Recommendations: The United States will recommend the defendant's offense level be decreased by two levels for acceptance of responsibility, pursuant to USSG §3E1.1(a), unless the defendant is found to have obstructed justice prior to sentencing, pursuant to USSG §3C1.1, or acted in any way inconsistent with acceptance of responsibility. The United States will move for an additional one-level reduction, pursuant to USSG §3E1.1(b), if appropriate under the Guidelines.

The parties stipulate that the total fraud loss, under USSG §2B1.1(b)(1), is \$1.2 million.

The parties reserve the right to make any other arguments at the time of sentencing beyond those outlined in this paragraph.

7. Sentencing Guidelines: Although advisory, the parties agree that the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines must be applied, and a calculation determined, as part of the protocol of sentencing to determine what sentence will be reasonable.



8. Waiver of Appeal Rights:

b.

Conditional: The defendant understands that the law provides a. a right to appeal and collaterally attack the sentence imposed in this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3742(a). The prosecution has a comparable right of appeal. 18 U.S.C. § 3742(b). By this agreement the defendant waives the right to appeal any aspect of the sentence, including conditions of probation or supervised release, if the sentence imposed is within or below the guideline range calculated by the Court, regardless of whether the defendant agrees with that range. The United States waives its right to appeal any aspect of the sentence if the sentence imposed is within or above the guideline range calculated by the Court.

The defendant also waives the right to challenge the sentence in a collateral proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. This waiver does not prohibit the right to pursue an action alleging ineffective assistance of counsel.

Waiver of Appeal of the Sentence – 5K motion: The defendant understands that the law provides a right to appeal and collaterally attack the sentence imposed in this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3742(a). Under appropriate circumstances, the United States may move, but has not made any commitment as part of this agreement to move, for a reduction of sentence pursuant to USSG §5K1.1 to reward the defendant for any substantial assistance provided before sentencing. If such a motion is made and the Court accepts the plea agreement, the AUSA Page 8 DFF

defendant waives all right to appeal any aspect of the sentence, including conditions of probation or supervised release, imposed by the Court.

If a motion for downward departure is made under USSG §5K1.1, the defendant also agrees to waive the right to collaterally attack the judgment or sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. This waiver does not prohibit the right to pursue an action alleging ineffective assistance of counsel.

The United States emphasizes, and the defendant again acknowledges, that no such motion is bargained for in this agreement. No commitment to make such a motion has been made as part of the plea agreement, and the defendant has been made specifically aware that Department of Justice policy does not authorize any individual prosecutor to file such a motion or make such a commitment without express written approval of the U.S. Attorney or a Committee of other prosecutors designated and empowered by the U.S. Attorney to approve such a motion. USAM 9-27.400.

Waiver and Dismissal of Appeal of the Sentence – Rule 35 c. **motion:** The defendant understands that the law provides a right to appeal and collaterally attack the sentence imposed in this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3742(a). Under appropriate circumstances, the United States may move, but has not made any commitment as part of this agreement to move, for a reduction of sentence pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, to reward the defendant M22012

Page 9

for any substantial assistance the defendant provides after sentencing. If such a motion is made, and granted by the Court, the defendant agrees to waive any appeal of the sentence and judgment imposed, and dismiss any pending appeal of the judgment and sentence previously taken.

If a Rule 35 motion for reduction of sentence is made and granted by the Court, the defendant also agrees to waive the right to collaterally attack the judgment or sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. This waiver does not prohibit the right to pursue an action alleging ineffective assistance of counsel.

The United States emphasizes, and the defendant again acknowledges, that no such motion is bargained for in this agreement. No commitment to make such a motion has been made as part of the plea agreement, and the defendant has been made specifically aware that Department of Justice policy does not authorize any individual prosecutor to make such a commitment without express written approval of the U.S. Attorney, or a Committee of other prosecutors designated and empowered by the U.S. Attorney to approve such a motion. USAM 9-27.400.

9. Voluntary Plea: The defendant and the defendant's attorney acknowledge that no threats, promises, or representations have been made to induce the defendant to plead guilty, and that this agreement is freely and voluntarily endorsed by the parties.



Case 4:16-cr-00019-BMM-JTJ Document 267 Filed 05/02/17 Page 11 of 13

10. Detention/Release After Plea: The United States agrees that it will not move for detention, but will defer to the discretion of the Court the decision as to whether the defendant meets the conditions of 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1) or (2), and whether the defendant has clearly shown exceptional reasons why detention is not appropriate. 18 U.S.C. § 3145(c). The United States is obligated to advise the Court of the appropriate legal standards that relate to the defendant's eligibility for post-conviction release. The defendant acknowledges that obligation and understands that advising the Court as to the law and facts is not an abrogation of its agreement not to request remand. The United States also agrees that it will have no opposition to self-surrender to the Bureau of Prisons.

11. Disclosure of Financial Information: The defendant authorizes the U.S. Probation Office to release to the Financial Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney's Office all documents and financial information provided by the defendant to the U.S. Probation Office and any information obtained by the U.S. Probation Office about the defendant through its investigation. The defendant further agrees to fully complete a financial statement in the form prescribed by the U.S. Attorney's Office, provide financial documents as requested, and submit to a debtor's exam if deemed appropriate by the U.S. Attorney's Office, in order to evaluate the defendant's ability to satisfy any financial obligation imposed by the




Court. The defendant consents to being immediately placed on the Treasury Offset Program to help meet the defendant's obligation to pay restitution and/or a fine.

12. Breach: If the defendant breaches this plea agreement, at any time, in any way, including but not limited to appealing or collaterally attacking the conviction or sentence, the United States may prosecute the defendant for any counts dismissed or not charged pursuant to this plea agreement. Additionally, the United States may use any factual admissions made by the defendant pursuant to this plea agreement in any such prosecution.

13. Entire Agreement: Any statements or representations made by the United States, the defendant, or defense counsel prior to the full execution of this plea agreement are superseded by this plea agreement. No promises or representations have been made by the United States except as set forth in writing in this plea agreement. This plea agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties. Any term or condition which is not expressly stated as part of this plea agreement is not to be considered part of the agreement.

- 11
- ||
- ||
- 11
- 11



LEIF M. JOHNSON Acting United States Attorney

RÝAN G. WELDON Assistant U. S. Attorney Date: 5/2/17

MARTIN GASPER MAZZARA

Defendant Date <u>Mail 2, 2017</u>

ĎÁVID Z. CHESNOFF

Defense Counsel Date: $\frac{Nef 2}{2017}$

