UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

v.

Case: 1:18-cr-20408

Judge: Ludington, Thomas L.

MJ: Morris, Patricia T.

Filed: 06-13-2018 At 03:34 PM SEALED MATTER (krc)

CHARLES WHITEBEAR MERRILL,

Defendant.

INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE (18 U.S.C. §§ 2242(2), 1151 and 1153) (Attempted Sexual Abuse)

On or about March 3, 2018, in the Northern Division of the Eastern District of Michigan, within Indian country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1151, that is, the Isabella Reservation, Charles Whitebear Merrill, an Indian, attempted to knowingly engage in a sexual act with A.R., that is: contact between his penis and A.R.'s vulva or anus; while A.R. was, as the defendant well knew at that time, incapable of appraising the nature of the conduct, physically incapable of declining participation, and physically incapable of communicating unwillingness to engage in the aforementioned attempted sexual act, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2242 and

1153.

COUNT TWO (18 U.S.C. §§ 2242(2), 1151 and 1153) (Attempted Sexual Abuse)

In or around March, 2014, in the Northern Division of the Eastern District of Michigan, within Indian country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1151, that is, the Isabella Reservation, Charles Whitebear Merrill, an Indian, attempted to knowingly engage in a sexual act with A.R., that is: contact between his penis and A.R.'s vulva or anus; while A.R. was, as the defendant well knew at that time, incapable of appraising the nature of the conduct, physically incapable of declining participation, and physically incapable of communicating unwillingness to engage in the aforementioned attempted sexual act, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2242 and 1153.

COUNT THREE (18 U.S.C. §§ 2242(2), 1151 and 1153) (Attempted Sexual Abuse)

In or around June, 2009, in the Northern Division of the Eastern District of Michigan, within Indian country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1151, that is, the Isabella Reservation, Charles Whitebear Merrill, an Indian, attempted to knowingly engage in a sexual act with K.S., that is: penetration of K.S.'s genital opening by a hand or finger; while K.S. was, as the defendant well knew at that time, incapable of appraising the nature of the conduct, physically incapable of

declining participation and physically incapable of communicating unwillingness to engage in the aforementioned attempted sexual act, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2242 and 1153.

Dated: June 13, 2018

THIS IS A TRUE BILL

s/Grand Jury Foreperson
GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

MATTHEW SCHNEIDER
United States Attorney

s/Roy R. Kranz
ROY R. KRANZ (P56903)
Assistant U.S. Attorney
101 First Street, Suite 200
Bay City, Michigan 48708-5747
(989) 895-5712
roy.kranz@usdoj.gov

s/Anthony P. Vance
ANTHONY P. VANCE
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Chief, Branch Offices

Companion Case in	formation MU	IST be completed by	AUSA and init	aled	
United States District Eastern District of Mi		Criminal Case Cover Sheet		Case Number	5.77
NOTE: It is the responsibility	of the Assistant U.S	. Attorney signing this form to o	complete it accurately	in all respects.	
Companion Case Information This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :			Case: 1:18-cr-20408 Judge: Ludington, Thomas L.		
☐ Yes	on LCrR 57.10 (b)(4):	MJ: Morris, Patricia T. Filed: 06-13-2018 At 03:34 PM SEALED MATTER (krc)			
Case Title: US	SA v. Charles	Whitebear Merrill			
County where	offense occu	urred: Isabella			
Check One:	X Felony [□ Misdemeanor □	Petty		
Superseding Cas	e No:	Information based on	upon LCrR 57.10 (d	() [Complete Superseding section below	w].
□ Involves,	for plea purpos	ses, different charges or matter but adds the add	adds counts.	s or charges below:	
<u>Defenda</u>	ant name	Cha	arges	Prior Complaint (if applicable	le)
Please take notice the above captioned		listed Assistant Unit	ed States Attor	ney is the attorney of record	for
Date: June 13, 2018		101 First S	Jnited States At	torney , Bay City, MI 48708	

E-Mail address: roy.kranz@usdoj.gov

Attorney Bar #: P56903

Fax: 989-895-5790

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.